11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

28

```
Ryan Gile, Esq.
   rg@gilelawgroup.com
   Nevada Bar No. 8807
   GILE LAW GROUP LTD.
   1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100
   Las Vegas, NV 89144
  Tel. (702) 703-7288
  Attorney for Plaintiff BMF Wallets, LLC
                          UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
 7
                                DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8
    BMF WALLETS, LLC,
                                            Case 2:21-cv-02181-RFB-DJA
9
                      Plaintiff.
                                            STIPULATED MOTION AND
10
          v.
                                            (PROPOSED) ORDER FOR
                                            EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER
```

Defendant.

MIRAMAX, LLC,

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1), LR 7-1(c), and LR IA 6-1, Plaintiff BMF Wallets, LLC and Defendant Miramax, LLC, hereby move this Court for a 14-day extension of time for Defendant to file and serve its answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint (ECF No. 1). This is the fourth request by the parties for such an extension.

COMPLAINT

(Fourth Request)

OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE

Defendants were served with Plaintiff's Complaint on December 15, 2021. On December 21, 2021, the parties submitted a stipulated Motion for a 30-day extension of time for Defendant to response to the Complaint (ECF No. 6), which the Court granted on December 22, 2021 (ECF No. 7), setting a February 4, 2022, deadline for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint. On January 26, 2022, the parties submitted a second stipulated Motion for a 14-day extension of time for Defendant to response to the Complaint (ECF No. 8), which the Court granted on January 27, 2022 (ECF No. 8), setting a February 18, 2022, deadline for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint. On February 17, 2022, the parties submitted a third stipulated Motion for a 14-day extension of time for Defendant to response to the Complaint (ECF

GLG-30528

2

3

5

No. 10), which the Court granted on February 18, 2022 (ECF No. 11), setting a March 4, 2022, deadline for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

The parties have been engaged in ongoing discussions regarding the terms and conditions of a settlement, which discussions have been delayed in part due to the holidays and the unavailability of certain persons employed by Defendant involved in the negotiations. An initial proposal was communicated by Defendant on December 27, 2021. Plaintiff responded to such proposal with its own counterproposal on January 5, 2022. On January 25, 2022, Plaintiff, through counsel, communicated terms and conditions of another settlement proposal. On February 7, 2022, Defendant, though counsel, responded to Plaintiff's settlement proposal by setting forth those areas where the parties were in accord and counterproposals for those terms and conditions that were not acceptable to Defendant. On February 16, 2022, Plaintiff, through counsel, responded to Defendant's last counterproposal by preparing a draft settlement agreement for Defendant's review. On February 24, 2022, Plaintiff, through counsel, emailed a revised version of the draft settlement agreement back to Defendant, through counsel, emailed a revised version of the draft settlement agreement back to Plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff is in the process of reviewing Defendant's revisions and expects to respond within the next week.

In order to give the parties additional time to try and work out a settlement prior to moving forward with the case, the parties have agreed to give Defendant an additional 14-day extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to the Plaintiff's Complaint. For these reasons, this extension request is made for good cause and not for purposes of delay.

22 / / /

17

18

20

23 / / /

24 | ///

25 / / /

26 / / /

27|| / / /

28 / / /

GLG-30528 2

Case 2:21-cv-02181-RFB-DJA Document 13 Filed 03/03/22 Page 3 of 4

For the foregoing reasons, the parties hereby stipulate and agree to extend the deadline for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint from March 4, 2022, to March 18, 2022.

Dated: March 2, 2022

Respectfully Submitted,

GILE LAW GROUP, LTD.

/s/ Ryan Gile Ryan Gile, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8807 rg@gilelawgroup.com 1180 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Tel. (702) 703-7288

Attorney for Plaintiff BMF Wallets, LLC

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: March 3, 2022

GLG-30528 3

Gile Law Group 1180 N. Town Center Dr. Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89144 2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 2, 2022, I served a full, true and correct copy of the foregoing STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT via email on the following parties:

Charlene Minx, Esq. Minx Law, PC

401 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1200 Santa Monica, California 90401

Direct: (424) 252-4717 Tel: (424) 250-8068 charlene@minxlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant

/s/ Ryan Gile Employee, Gile Law Group Ltd.

GLG-30528